



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/949,525	10/14/1997	MICHAEL J. WIENER	ENT970827-1	8206

7590 11/24/2004
CHRISTOPHER J RECKAMP
Vedder Price Kaufman & Kammholz
222 North LaSalle Street
Suite 2600
Chicago, IL 60601

EXAMINER

PYZOCHA, MICHAEL J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2137

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 08/949,525	Applicant(s) WIENER ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael Pyzocha	Art Unit 2137	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 July 2004.
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-17, 19-23 and 25-30 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 and 14-30 is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 9 and 10 is/are rejected.
7) ☒ Claim(s) 11-13 is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 1999 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. <u>11182004</u> |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-3,5-17,19-23 and 25-30 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 26 July 2004 (07/26/2004) have been considered. Based on the arguments the rejections of claims 1-3, 6, 8-17, 20-23, 26, and 30 over Lewis in view of Ellison; claims 1-4, 6, 8-18, 20-24, and 26-30 over Lee in view of Ellison; claims 5, 19, 25, and 27-29 over Lewis and Ellison and further in view of Applicants' admitted prior art have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McDonald et al ("A Socket-Based Key Management

Art Unit: 2137

API (and Surrounding Infrastructure)") and further in view of Schneier (Applied Cryptography).

As per claim 9, McDonald et al discloses providing, through a multi-client manager unit (see page 2), selectable expiry data including public encryption key expiry data associated with a public encryption key that is selectable on a per client basis (see page 3 where "protocol addresses are used as an index" shows a per client basis); digitally storing selected public encryption key expiry data for association with a new encryption key pair; generating a new encryption key pair and associating the stored selected expiry data with the new encryption key pair to affect a transition from an old encryption key pair to a new encryption key pair (see page 3).

McDonald et al fails to disclose the new encryption key pair is not computable from a previous encryption key pair.

However, Schneier teaches generating new encryption keys that are not computable from a previous key (see pages 45-46).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use Schneier's method of generating keys to generate the key pairs in McDonald et al.

Motivation to do so would have been to provide a cryptographically secure key (see Schneier pages 45-46).

Art Unit: 2137

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified McDonald et al and Schneier system as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of RFC 2137.

As per claim 10 the modified McDonald et al and Schneier system fails to disclose the method of providing, generating, storing, and associating digital signature key pairs as well as the encryption key pairs.

However, RFC 2137 teaches the updating of digital signature key pairs (see pages 8-9).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the modified McDonald et al and Schneier system to also updating digital signatures as in RFC 2137.

Motivation to do so would have been that an update of a key would invalidate the digital signature (see RFC 2137 page 9).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-8 and 14-30 are allowed. Reasons for allowance include, for example in claim 1, the last two paragraphs; particularly the steps of receiving a new digital signature key pair from the client and creating a new digital signature certificate.

Art Unit: 2137

7. Claims 11-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pyzocha whose telephone number is (571) 272-3875. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm first Fridays of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2137

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJP

Andrew Caldwell
Andrew Caldwell